

Ruthenium-Catalyzed Hydroarylation of Anilides with Alkynes: An Efficient Route to Ortho-Alkenylated Anilines

Rajendran Manikandan and Masilamani Jeganmohan*

Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Pune 411021, India

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Acetanilides reacted with symmetrical as well as unsymmetrical alkynes in the presence of $[{RuCl_2(p-cymene)}_2]$, pivalic acid, and AgSbF₆ in iso-PrOH providing ortho-alkenylated acetanilides in a highly regio- and stereoselective manner. Later, ortho-alkenylated acetanilides were converted into ortho-alkenylated anilines in the presence of HCl.

he transition-metal-catalyzed hydroarylation of aromatic Lelectrophiles or organometallic reagents with alkynes is a convenient route for the synthesis of trisubstituted alkenes in a highly regio- and stereoselective manner. Substituted alkenes are versatile synthetic precursors which are widely used for several organic transformations. The alkene unit is also present in various drug molecules and materials. Although this type of reaction is very effective for synthesizing alkenes, a preactivated coupling partner such as C-X or C-M on the aromatic moiety is required. Instead of using a preactivated partner, a similar type of reaction is carried out utilizing C-H bond activation; it would be even more useful in organic synthesis.²

In 1995, Murai's group reported the ruthenium-catalyzed hydroarylation of aromatic ketones with alkynes through a C-H bond activation.^{3a} Subsequently, this type of hydroarylation reaction has been extended with various heteroatom substituted aromatics by several groups in the presence of catalysts such as Ru, Rh, Ir, and Pd. ^{3,4} Meanwhile, first row transition metals such as Mn, Ni, and Co can also be used as catalysts for the hydroarylation reaction.⁵ This hydroarylation reaction mechanistically proceeds via an oxidative addition pathway (eq 1). However, this reaction is not completely regio- and stereoselective with unsymmetrical alkynes and mostly provides a mixture of alkene derivatives.

This type of regio- and stereoselective problem can be solved by performing the hydroarylation reaction via a chelationassisted concerted deprotonation-metalation pathway (eq 2).6 In fact, both reactions proceed entirely in a different mechanistic pathway and also provide the hydroarylation product in a reverse regiochemistry (eqs 1 and 2). Chelating groups such as amide, carbamate, and phosphine oxide (P=O) substituted aromatics underwent hydroarylation with alkynes in the presence of ruthenium(II) or rhodium(III) complexes as catalysts, yielding trisubstituted alkenes in a highly regio- and stereoselective manner.⁶ Recently, Miura's group reported ruthenium-catalyzed hydroarylation of biphenyl anilines with alkynes.66 However, in the reaction of biphenyl anilines with unsymmetrical alkynes, a mixture of regio- and stereoisomeric products were observed.

Herein, we report a highly regio- and stereoselective ruthenium-catalyzed hydroarylation of acetanilides with symmetrical and unsymmetrical alkynes. The catalytic reaction provides ortho-alkenylated anilides in good to excellent yields. The alkyne substituents determine the regiochemistry of the product. Coordinating groups such as Ph or ester from the alkynes are trans to the anilides. Later, ortho-alkenylated anilides were converted into ortho-alkenylated anilines in the presence of HCl. It is important to note that ortho-alkenylated anilines are versatile synthetic precursors in a number of organic transformations and are also efficiently used to synthesize biologically active molecules.⁷ It is known that acetanilides reacted with alkynes in the presence of rhodium or ruthenium catalysts and an acetate base to give indole derivatives (eq 3).8

Interestingly, if the same reaction is carried out in the presence of an organic acid instead of a base, a different type of orthoalkenylated anilide is observed (eq 3). It is interesting to note

Received: December 18, 2013 Published: January 17, 2014

Organic Letters Letter

that organic acids or an acetate base completely changes the reaction pattern.

Initially, the hydroarylation of 3,4-dimethoxy aniline with 1-phenyl-1-propyne (2a) in the presence of [{RuCl₂(p-cymene)}₂] (5.0 mol %), AgSbF₆ (20 mol %), and pivalic acid (5.0 equiv) in 1,4-dioxane at 100 °C for 12 h was carried out. However, in the reaction, no expected *ortho*-alkenylated aniline was observed. Next, the hydroarylation reaction was tested with anilines having a removable directing group at the nitrogen atom such as acetanilide 1a (NH–COMe), sulfonamide (NH–SO₂Me), and aryl urea (NHCONMe₂). In the reaction of acetanilide 1a with 2a, hydroarylation product 3aa was observed in 41% yield (Scheme 1). In other substrates,

Scheme 1. Hydroarylation of 1a with 2a

no hydroarylation products were observed. The hydroarylation reaction of 1a and 2a is highly regio- and stereoselective, as a less hindered C–H bond of 1a coupled with the methyl substituted carbon of alkyne 2a. To increase the yield of hydroarylation product 3aa, reactions of 1a with 2a in the presence of $[\{RuCl_2(p\text{-cymene})\}_2]$ (5.0 mol %) and $AgSbF_6$ (20 mol %) with various organic acids and solvents were examined. We found that the pivalic acid (5.0 equiv) was an effective organic acid and *iso*-PrOH was an effective solvent for the reaction. In the reaction, product 3aa was observed in 89% isolated yield (for detailed optimization studies, see the Supporting Information).

The scope of the catalytic reaction was tested with various substituted anilides 1b-o (Table 1). The reaction was compatible with various functional groups such as OMe, F, Cl, Br, I, ester, CN, and OH substituted anilides. Thus, electron-donating groups such as OH, OMe, and Me substituted anilides 1b-d reacted efficiently with 2a, yielding hydroarylation products 3ba-da in 78%, 85%, and 81% yields, respectively, in a highly regio- and stereoselective manner (entries 1-3). It is very interesting to note that a free hydroxyl group substituted acetanilide 1b was also effective for the reaction. Acetanilide (1e) reacted nicely with 2a, giving product 3ea in 80% yield (entry 4). Halogen groups such as Br, Cl, and F substituted anilides 1f-h also efficiently participated in the reaction, providing products 3fa-ha in 79%, 76%, and 69% yields, respectively, in a highly regio- and stereoselective manner (entries 5-7). A less reactive electron-withdrawing group such as CN or ester substituted anilides 1i and 1j also reacted efficiently with 2a, giving trisubstituted alkenes 3ia and 3ja in 68% and 71% yields, respectively (entries 8 and 9). The regiochemistry of 3ja was assigned based on the NOESY experiment. It is also important to note that CN and ester groups are known as directing groups for the C-H bond activation reaction.² The present result shows that NHCOMe is a better directing group for the reaction compared with ester and CN. Sterically hindered ortho-methoxy acetanilide 1k was effectively involved in the reaction, giving product 3ka in 84% yield (entry 10). Next, the reaction was tested with unsymmetrical acetanilides 11-n. A sterically less hindered C-H bond of meta-methoxy acetanilide 11 and 2-naphthyl acetamide 1m underwent hydroarylation with 2a, providing alkene derivatives

Table 1. Hydroarylation of Substituted Anilides 1b-0 with 1-Phenyl-1-propyne $(2a)^a$

entry	1b-o	product 3	yield (%) ^b
	NHCOMe 1b-j	NHCOMe Ph 3ba-ja Me	
	1b : $R^1 = OH$	$3ba: R^1 = OH$	78
2	1c: $R^1 = OMe$	$3ca: R^{1} = OMe$	85°
3	1d: $R^1 = Me$	$3da: R^{T} = Me$	81 ^d
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1e: $R^1 = H$	3ea: $R^1 = H$	80^d
5	$\mathbf{1f} \colon \mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{Br}$	$3fa: R^1 = Br$	79
6	$1g: R^1 = C1$	$3ga: R^1 = Cl$	76
7	1h: $R^1 = F$	$3ha: R^1 = F$	69
8	$1i: R^1 = CN$	$3ia: R^1 = CN$	68
9	$1j: R^1 = CO_2Me$	$3ja: R^1 = CO_2Me$	71
10	OMe NHCOMe 1k	OMe NHCOMe 3ka Me	84
11	MeO NHCOMe	MeO NHCOMe Ph	83
12	NHCOMe 1m	NHCOMe 3ma Ph	82
13	NHCOMe 1n	Ph Me NHCOMe 3na	81
14	MeO 10	NH NH Ph	20

"All reactions were carried out using 1b-o (100 mg), 1-phenyl-1-propyne (2a) (1.2 equiv), $[\{\mathrm{RuCl_2}(p\text{-cymene})\}_2]$ (0.05 equiv), AgSbF_6 (0.20 equiv), and pivalic acid (5.0 equiv) in iso-PrOH (2.5 mL) at 100 °C for 12 h. b Isolated yield. c The reaction was carried out for 4 h. d The reaction was carried out for 3 h.

3la and **3ma** in excellent 83% and 82% yields, respectively (entries 11 and 12). The structure of **3la** was confirmed by single crystal X-ray diffraction. In contrast, in the reaction of 3,4-(methylenedioxy)anilide (**1n**) with **2a**, hydroarylation takes place at a sterically hindered C—H bond of **1n**, yielding product **3na** in 81% yield (entry 13). The hydroarylation reaction was tested with 4-methoxyphenyl pivalamide (**1o**). In the reaction, product **3oa** was observed in 20% yield (entry 14).

The scope of the catalytic reaction was further examined with substituted alkynes 2b-k (Table 2). Thus, diphenylacetylene (2b), 1-phenyl-1-butyne (2c), 1-phenyl-1-hexyne (2d), and 1-phenyl-2-(trimethylsilyl) acetylene (2e) reacted very selectively at the sterically less hindered C-H bond of 1a, providing alkene derivatives 3ab-ae in 87%, 83%, 81%, and 61% yields, respectively (entries 1-4). In alkynes 2c-d, the aromatic C-H bond of 1a was selectively inserted at the alkyl substituted carbon of alkynes. In the product 3ae, sensitive SiMe₃ was cleaved under the reaction conditions. Interestingly, ethyl 2-butynoate (2f), methyl hex-2-ynoate (2g), and methyl oct-2-ynoate (2h) also nicely participated in the reaction, yielding products 3af-ah in 88%, 80%, and 78% yields, respectively (entries 5-7). In these reactions also, the alkyl substituted

Organic Letters Letter

Table 2. Hydroarylation of 3,4-Dimethoxy Acetanilide (1a) with Substituted Alkynes $2b-h^a$

entry	alkynes 2b-h	product 3	yield (%)
	Ph———R ³ 2b-e	MeO NHCOMe MeO 3ab-ae R3	
1	2b : $R^3 = Ph$	3ab : $R^3 = Ph$	87
2	2e : $R^3 = Et$	$3ac: R^3 = Et$	83
3	2d : $R^3 = n$ -Bu	3ad : $R^3 = n$ -Bu	81
4	$2e: R^3 = SiMe_3$	3ae : $R^3 = H$	61
	R^4 —— CO_2R^5 2f-h	MeO NHCOMe MeO 3af-3ah R4	
5	2f : $R^4 = Me$, $R^5 = Et$	3af : $R^4 = Me$, $R^5 = Et$	88
6	2g : $R^4 = n$ -Pr, $R^5 = Me$	3ag : $R^4 = n$ -Pr, $R^5 = Me$	80
7	2h : $R^4 = n$ -Pentyl, $R^5 = Me$	3ah: $R^4 = n$ -Pentyl, $R^5 = Me$	78

^aAll reactions were carried out using 1a (100 mg), 2b—h (1.2 equiv), $[{RuCl_2(p\text{-cymene})}_2]$ (0.05 equiv), AgSbF₆ (0.20 equiv), and pivalic acid (5.0 equiv) in *iso*-PrOH (2.5 mL) at 100 °C for 12 h. ^bIsolated yield.

carbon of alkynes 2f-h was regioselectively connected at the *ortho* carbon of 1a. The regiochemistry of 3af was assigned based on the NOESY experiment.

But, an alkyne, ethyl phenyl propiolate (2i), having two coordinating groups such as Ph and ester, gave a mixture of hydroarylation products 3ai and 3ai' in 81% combined yields in a 60:40 ratio (Table 3, entry 1). Interestingly, 2-thienyl

Table 3. Hydroarylation of 1a with Alkynes 2i-ka

1a +
$$R^4$$
 CO_2Me
 MeO
 NHCOMe
 MeO
 NHCOMe

 2i: R^4 = Ph
 2j: R^4 = 2-thienyl
 3ai-3ak
 3ai'-3ak'

 2k: R^4 = CH_2Ph
 3ai-3ak
 3ai:3ai' = 1.5:1

 2
 2j
 75%
 3aj:3aj' = 3:1

 3
 2k
 62%
 3ak only

"All reactions were carried out using 1a (100 mg), alkyne 2i-k (1.2 equiv), $[\{RuCl_2(p\text{-cymene})\}_2]$ (0.05 equiv), AgSbF₆ (0.20 equiv), and pivalic acid (5.0 equiv) in *iso*-PrOH (2.5 mL) at 100 °C for 12 h. ^b Isolated yield (combined yield).

substituted alkyne **2j** provided hydroarylation products **3aj** and **3aj**' in 75% combined yields in a 3:1 ratio (entry 2). In the major product **3aj**, the 2-thienyl attached carbon of alkyne **2j** was connected with a less hindered carbon of **1a**. Surprisingly, in the reaction of alkyne **2k** having Ph and CH₂Ph with **1a**, a single coupling product **3ak** in 62% yield was obtained (entry **3**). In the reaction, **1a** was connected selectively at the CH₂Ph attached carbon of alkyne **2k**. To know the coordinating effect of Ph and ester groups, the following crossover reaction was examined (eq 4). Treatment of **1a** with **2a** (1.0 equiv) and **2f**

(1.0 equiv) under similar reaction conditions gave alkyne 2a coupling product 3aa in a major 59% yield and alkyne 2f

coupling product 3af in a lesser yield of 32%, respectively. This result clearly reveals that Ph coordinates better with Ru metal than ester.

Later, *ortho*-alkenylated acetanilides **3ca** and **3fa** were converted into *ortho*-alkenylated anilines **4a** and **4b** in 93% and 91% yields, respectively, in the presence of a 1:1 mixture of 17% HCl and THF at 100 °C for 17 h (eq 5). The catalytic

reaction was successfully extended with a weak ester directing group substituted aromatic moiety. Methyl piperonate (5a) reacted with diphenylacetylene (2b) under similar reaction conditions, yielding hydroarylation product 6ab in 71% yield in a highly regioselective manner (eq 6).

A possible reaction mechanism for the hydroarylation reaction is proposed in Scheme 2. AgSbF₆ likely removes the

Scheme 2. Proposed Mechanism

Cl⁻ ligand from the $[\{RuCl_2(p\text{-cymene})\}_2]$ complex, giving a cationic ruthenium species 7. Coordination of the carbonyl group of 1 to a cationic species 7 followed by ortho-metalation provides a six-membered ruthenacycle intermediate 8. Coordinative regioselective insertion of alkyne 2 into the Ru-carbon bond of intermediate 8 gives intermediate 9. Protonation at the Ru-C bond of intermediate 9 in the presence of RCOOH affords hydroarylation product 3 and regenerates the active ruthenium species 7 for the next catalytic cycle. In the reaction, organic acid acts as a proton source. To support the role of an organic acid, the following deuterium labeling experiment was carried out. Treatment of 1a with 2a under similar reaction conditions in the presence of CD₃COOD instead of pivalic acid gave product d-3aa in 40% yield with 76% of deuterium incorporation at the alkene carbon. In the meantime, 67% deuterium incorporation was observed at the ortho carbon of anilide in product d-3aa. It clearly indicates that the ortho C-H bond cleavage of anilide 1 along with intermediate 8 formation is a reversible process.

In conclusion, we have described a highly regio- and stereoselective ruthenium-catalyzed hydroarylation of acetanilides with alkynes. The catalytic reaction was compatible with Organic Letters Letter

various sensitive functional group substituted acetanilides and alkynes. Further extension of hydroarylation of substituted aromatics with alkynes and a detailed mechanistic investigation are in progress.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

General experimental procedure and characterization details. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: mjeganmohan@iiserpune.ac.in.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the DST (SR/S1/OC-26/2011), India for the support of this research. R.K. thanks the CSIR for a fellowship.

REFERENCES

- (1) (a) Flynn, A. B.; Ogilvie, W. W. Chem. Rev. **2007**, 107, 4698. (b) Fagnou, K.; Lautens, M. Chem. Rev. **2003**, 103, 169. (c) Fallis, A. G.; Forgione, P. Tetrahedron **2001**, 57, 5899. (d) Lin, P. S.; Jeganmohan, M.; Cheng, C. H. Chem.—Eur. J. **2008**, 14, 11296.
- Jeganmohan, M.; Cheng, C. H. Chem.—Eur. J. 2008, 14, 11296. (2) Selected reviews: (a) Arokiam, P. B.; Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H. Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 5879. (b) Yeung, C. S.; Dong, V. M. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1215. (c) Ritleng, V.; Sirlin, C.; Pfeffer, K. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 1731. (d) Lyons, M. T.; Sanford, M. S. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 1147. (e) Bras, J. L.; Muzart, J. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1170. (f) Ackermann, L. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1315. (g) Colby, D. A.; Bergman, R. G.; Ellman, J. A. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 624. (h) Ackermann, L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, DOI:10.1021/ ar3002798. (i) Li, B.; Dixneuf, P. H. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 5744. (3) Ru(0) catalyst: (a) Kakiuchi, F.; Yamamoto, Y.; Chatani, N.; Murai, S. Chem. Lett. 1995, 681. (b) Kakiuchi, F.; Uetsuhara, T.; Tanaka, Y.; Chatani, N.; Murai, S. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2002, 182-511. From other groups: (c) Harris, P. W. R.; Rickard, C. E. F.; Woodgate, P. D. J. Organomet. Chem. 1999, 589, 168. (d) Mitsudo, T.; Zhang, S.-W.; Nagao, M.; Watanabe, Y. Chem. Commun. 1991, 598. (e) Neisius, N. M.; Plietker, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 5752. Rh catalyst: (f) Weissman, H.; Song, X.; Milstein, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2001**, 123, 337. (g) The reaction proceeds via oxidative cyclization/ β hydride elimination: Shibata, Y.; Hirano, M.; Tanaka, K. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2829. (h) Shibata, Y.; Otake, Y.; Hirano, M.; Tanaka, K. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 689. (i) Lim, S. G.; Lee, J. H.; Moon, C. W.; Hong, J. B.; Jun, C. H. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 2759. (j) Parthasarathy, K.; Jeganmohan, M.; Cheng, C.-H. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 325. (k) Colby, D. A.; Bergman, R. G.; Ellman, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 3645. (4) Ir catalyst: (a) Satoh, T.; Nishinaka, Y.; Miura, M.; Nomura, M. Chem. Lett. 1999, 615. Pd catalyst: (b) Tsukada, N.; Mitsuboshi, T.; Setoguchi, H.; Inoue, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 12102. (c) Lindhardt, A. T.; Mantel, M. L. H.; Skrydstrup, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 2668.
- (5) (a) Zhou, B.; Chen, H.; Wang, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 135, 1264. (b) Nakao, Y.; Kanyiva, K. S.; Hiyama, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 2448. (c) Nakao, Y. Chem. Rec. 2011, 11, 242. (d) Liu, S.; Sawicki, J.; Driver, T. G. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3744. (e) Mukai, T.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 6410. (f) Lee, P. S.; Fujita, T.; Yoshikai, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 17283. (g) Ding, Z.; Yoshikai, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 4698. (h) Yamakawa, T.; Yoshikai, N. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 196.
- (6) (a) Hashimoto, Y.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Kakiuchi, F.; Miura, M. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2058. (b) Ackermann, L.; Lygin, A. V.; Hofmann,

N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 6379. (c) Zhao, P.; Niu, R.; Wang, F.; Han, K.; Li, X. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4166. (d) Reddy, M. C.; Jeganmohan, M. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 481. (e) Itoh, M.; Hashimoto, Y.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 8098. (f) Suzuki, C.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; F.; Miura, M. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3990. (g) Zhou, W.; Yang, Y.; Wang, Z.; Deng, G. J. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 51.

- (7) (a) Lee, B. S.; Lee, J. H.; Chi, D. Y. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 6516. (b) Hogan, A.; Shea, D. F. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 9557. (c) Wang, Y.-M.; Wu, J.; Hoong, C.; Rauniyar, V.; Toste, F. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 12928.
- (8) (a) Stuart, D. R.; Alsabeh, P.; Kuhn, M.; Fagnou, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 18326. (b) Ackermann, L.; Lygin, A. V. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 764. Recent Ru-catalyzed anilide reactions: (c) Ackermann, L.; Wang, L.; Wolfram, R.; Lygin, A. V. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 728. (d) Yang, X.; Shan, G.; Rao, Y. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 2334.